

BUILDING OFFICIAL INTERPRETATION 2018-04 July 01, 2021

CODE/EDITION: VIRGINIA UNIFORM STATEWIDE BUILDING CODE/2018

SECTION(S): IBC/2018 Section 707 Fire Barriers

IBC/2018 Section 708 Fire Partitions

IBC/2018 Section 711 Floor and Roof Assemblies

QUESTION:

1. Are "horizontal structural members supporting floor or roof decks"..."considered throughwall penetrations, much like conduits, pipes, and ducts, when they penetrate vertical fire barriers?"

ANSWER:

1. **Yes.** See the attached ICC Staff Interpretation that was originally issued with regard to the IBC/2000.

Eric M. Mays, P.E.

Building Official

Attachment

ICC Staff Interpretation, dated July 27, 2004



Setting the Standard for Building Safety[™] -

Chicago District Office

4051 W. Flossmoor Road **=** Country Club Hills, IL 60478-5795 U.S.A.
Tel: +1 (708) 799-2300 **=** Fax: +1 (708) 799-4981

www.iccsafe.o

PRESIDENT
ANNE R. vonWELLER, C.B.O.
Chief Building Official
Murray, Utah

VICE PRESIDENT
FRANK P. HODGE, JR., C.B.O., CEAP
Director of Building and
Fire Codes
Hilton Head Island, South Carolina

SECRETARY/TREASURER
HENRY L. GREEN
Executive Director
Department of Consumer
& Industry Services
Lansing, Michigan

WALLY BAILEY, C.B.O. Director, Development and Construction Ft. Smith, Arkansas

EOWIN M. BERKEL, C.F.I. Fire Marshal Mehlville Fire Protection District St. Louis, Missouri

TERRENCE L. COBB, C.B.O. Director, Dept. of Codes Administration Nashville/Davidson County, Tennessee

NICK D'ANDREA, JR., C.B.O. Manager, Commercial Development Services Tampa, Florida

WILLIAM L. DUCK, JR., C.B.O. Chief, Inspections and Code Enforcement Division Columbus, Georgia

WILLIAM D. DUPLER Building Official Chasterfield Virginia

GERALD D. GEORGE, C.B.O. Chief Building Official Boulder, Colorado

DOROTHY M. HARRIS Deputy Secretary of State Albany, New York

FRED HERMAN Chief Building Officia Palo Alto, California

GREG JOHNSON Building Inspector Saint Paul, Minnesota

H. H. "BUBBA" ROBERTS, C.B.O. Building Official Phenix City, Alabama

JAMES T. RYAN, C.B.O Codes Administrator Overland Park, Kansas

STEVEN I. SHAPIRO, C.B.O. Director of Codes Compliance Hampton, Virginia

ADOLF A. ZUBIA
Fire Chief
Las Cruces, New Mexico
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
JAMES LEE WITT

July 27, 2004

Iran Scott
Department of Public Works
Division of Building Development
County of Prince William
1 County Complex Court
Prince William, VA 22192-9201

RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2004

PWC BUILDING DEVELOPMENT

RE: Sections 706.7 and 711.3 of the 2000 International Building Code

Dear Mr. Scott:

This letter is in response to your request for a written code interpretation regarding structural penetrations of fire-resistance rated assemblies. You ask if horizontal structural members supporting floor or roof decks are considered through-wall penetrations, much like conduits, pipes and ducts, when they penetrate vertical fire barriers.

The building code does not specifically address penetrations of fire-resistance rated assemblies by structural members. Structural penetrations are considered similar to, but less of a breach than, conduits, pipes and ducts. Therefore, any of the methods in Section 711.3 that apply to through penetrations (as opposed to membrane penetrations) are considered adequate for protecting structural penetrations. Note that size limitations for penetrating pipes and conduits, as described in the exception to Section 711.3.1, are not considered applicable to penetrating structural elements. As always, alternative methods of protection are acceptable in accordance with Section 104.11.

This interpretation can be applied to fire partitions, smoke barriers and horizontal assemblies as well. It does not apply to fire walls due to their requirement for structural independence. Note that structural elements that penetrate exit stair enclosures (i.e., fire barriers) are considered acceptable penetrations with respect to Section 1005.3.4.1 when they are necessary for the direct or indirect support and/or bracing of stair components, or any part of the stair enclosure, including a roof.

We are pleased to provide you with the opinions stated herein. We have made no independent effort to verify the accuracy of your submitted information nor have we conducted a review beyond the scope of your question. The opinions of the ICC technical staff are only advisory in nature and, as such, it is up to you to choose to use this information as you desire. As always, we recognize that the final interpretation is the legal responsibility of the authority having jurisdiction, typically, the local building official.

Fhill Hahr, A

Senior Staff Architect