



Project Information

Project Name:	Token Forest Sidewalk Project	Federal Project#:	RTAP-5B01(588)
Project Number:	EN22-076-353, C501, P101, R201	Project Type:	Construction
UPC:	121561	Charge Number:	121561
Project Number(Assoc)(UPC):			
Route Number:	751	Route Type:	N/A
Project Limit--From:	PURCELL ROAD	To:	ALLSTART DRIVE
IPM Project Description:	TOKEN FOREST DRIVE SIDEWALK		
Additional Project Description:	The project will construct approximately 420 linear feet of 5-foot concrete sidewalk on the south side of Token Forest Drive (Route 751) between 6231 Token Forest Drive and the Prince of Peace United Methodist Church Entrance.		
Purpose And Need:	The purpose of the project is to provide a continuous sidewalk to improve pedestrian safety and access to local destinations.		
District:	City/County:	Residency:	
Northern Virginia	Prince William	Prince William	

The subject project meets the criteria for a Programmatic Categorical Exclusion in accordance with:

23 CFR 771.117

Description of PCE Category:

Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

PCE c03

UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES:

1. The proposed action will not result in significant environmental impacts.

Finding: Other; brief narrative provided in notes.

Notes: Based on review of the project location in VDOT's eMaps Geographic Information System (GIS), Northern Virginia District Environmental staff determined there are no significant environmental impacts anticipated as a result of this project.

2. The proposed action will not result in substantial controversy on environmental grounds.

Finding: Other; brief narrative provided in notes.

Notes: Based on review of the project scope and location as well as consultation with the Project Manager (PM), Northern Virginia District Environmental staff determined there is no substantial controversy expected as a result of this project.

3. The proposed action will not result in significant impact on properties protected by Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act or Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *Based on review of project location in VDOT's eMaps GIS, Northern Virginia District Environmental staff determined the project will not impact any properties protected by Section 4(f). Based on the Cultural Resources Summary Report, a determination of no effect on historic properties was made on 03/22/23 in accordance with the efficiencies provided by Stipulation 2 of the 1999 Programmatic Agreement between VDOT and VDHR or Stipulation II.A of the 2016 Programmatic Agreement among FHWA, USACE, TVA, ACHP, VA SHPO, and VDOT for determinations of No Effect as amended in 2021.*

4. The project sponsor confirms that proposed action will not result in any inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action.

IMPACTS:

5. The proposed action will not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *Based on review of the project scope and consultation with the PM, Northern Virginia District Environmental staff determined this project does not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way (RW).*

6. The proposed action will not involve residential or non-residential displacements.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *Based on review of the project scope and consultation with the PM, Northern Virginia District Environmental staff determined there are no residential or non-residential displacements.*

7. The proposed action will not result in capacity expansion of a roadway by addition of through lanes.

Finding: *Review of project scope indicates there will be no new lanes carrying traffic for 2500 feet or more.*

Notes:

8. The proposed action does not involve the construction of temporary access, or the closure of an existing road, bridge, or ramps that would result in major traffic disruptions based on the context and intensity of the impact.

Finding: *The project will not result in any road closures or detours constituting a major traffic disruption.*

Notes:

9. The proposed action does not result in a determination of adverse effect on historic properties pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. §306108).

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Date of

Finding: *03/22/2023*

Notes: *Based on the Cultural Resources Summary Report, a determination of no effect on historic properties was made 03/22/23 in accordance with the efficiencies provided by Stipulation 2 of the 1999 Programmatic Agreement between VDOT and VDHR or Stipulation II.A of the 2016 Programmatic Agreement among FHWA, USACE, TVA, ACHP, VA SHPO, and VDOT for determinations of No Effect as amended in 2021.*

10. The proposed action does not require the use of properties protected by Section 4(f) (49 U.S.C. § 303/23 U.S.C. § 138) that cannot be documented with an FHWA de minimis determination, or a programmatic Section 4(f) evaluation signed by FHWA.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Date of

Finding: *03/22/2023*

Notes: *Based on review of the project's location in VDOT's eMaps GIS and the Cultural Resources Summary Report dated 03/22/23, Northern Virginia District Environmental staff determined the project will not require use of any properties protected by Section 4(f).*

11. The proposed action does not require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 (54 U.S.C. § 200305) or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement with federal public-use-money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property.

Finding: *Based on documented review of available mapping and databases, there will be no acquisition of lands protected by Section 6(f) or other unique areas or special lands.*

Notes:

12. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 (33 U.S.C. § 1344) permit other than a Nationwide or a General Permit.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Date of

Finding: *03/23/2023*

Notes: *Based on the Permit Determination dated 03/23/23 and the Jurisdictional Determination Request dated June 2023, the project does not require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 permit other than a Nationwide or General Permit.*

13. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Coast Guard bridge permit (33 U.S.C. § 401).

Finding: *The project does not involve work on bridges over navigable waters necessitating a USCG permit.*

Notes:

14. The proposed action does not require work that will cause an increase of the flood level by more than one foot within a regulatory floodway of water courses or water bodies or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to 23 CFR §650 subpart A.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *The project location is outside of the 100-year floodplain based on available Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) mapping. Northern Virginia District Environmental staff determined there will be no increase of the flood level by more than one foot within a floodway of water courses or water bodies.*

15. The proposed action is not defined as a "Type I project" per 23 CFR §772.5 and the VDOT noise manual for purposes of a noise analysis.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *This project does not meet any of the criteria for a Type I project designation under 23 CFR Part 772.*

16. The proposed action is not likely to adversely affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat, with the exception of a "may affect, likely to adversely affect" (MALAA) determination for a species with a Section 7 programmatic biological opinion.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Date of

Finding: *11/30/2023*

Notes: *Based on the Threatened and Endangered (T&E) Clearance Form dated 11/30/23, a review of the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) process concluded a May Affect Not Likely to Adversely Affect (MANLAA) determination for the Northern long-eared bat (NLEB) and the Tricolored bat.*

17. The proposed action does not involve any known or potential hazardous materials issues that represent a substantial liability or require substantial regulatory negotiation to resolve.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *Based on the Hazardous Materials Summary Report dated 03/23/23, the project scope is not expected to involve any no known or potential hazardous material issues of substantial liability or regulatory negotiation.*

18. The proposed action is fiscally constrained and meets the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document in accordance with 23 CFR §450.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *The project is included in STIP Grouping for Construction: Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional to meet the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document.*

19. The proposed action will not cause disproportionately high and adverse effects on any minority or low-income populations.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *Based on project scope, consultation with the PM, and online review of the Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool there will be no: displacements, changes in access, major traffic disruptions, or disruption of community services; therefore, there are no disproportionately high and adverse effects on any minority or low-income populations.*

20. The project sponsor confirms the project does not involve consideration of multiple NEPA alternatives and is not listed in 23 CFR §771.115(a).

Muse, John C.

Environmental Manager, CE Determination

12/04/2023

Date